

**Panel Session Two: Creating a Positive Learning Vision for your
School: Promoting a Culture of Good Behaviour**

Developing Clear Expectations and Behaviour Policies:
Communicating your behaviour policy effectively to
teachers, pupils and parents

Philippa Cordingley, Centre for the Use of Research and
Evidence in Education



The focus

- Understanding how schools that are exceptional in serving the needs of vulnerable students and communities go about systemising communication around effective behaviour policies
- <http://www.curee.co.uk/node/3272>



A comparison drawn from exceptional schools ad those gaining, approaching and seeking momentum

The framework came from systematic reviews of research with impact data re school improvement, leadership, teaching, learning and behavior for vulnerable communities

The in depth school data came from:

- Extensive analysis of progress data & school documentation
- Interviews with teachers
- Observation of e.g. classes, CPD sessions, meetings
- Group interviews with members of the SLT
- Focus groups with teachers; and
- Analysis of an online student survey



Key dimensions of the framework

- **Research in 2 waves; one re Strong and Exceptional schools, one re school improvement**
- **Revealed 4 key dimensions key to both waves**
 - Quality of teaching, learning and the curriculum and behaviour - defined as behaviour for learning
 - The nature and structure of the learning environment for pupils and for teachers
 - Leadership – communication, systems and big picture policies
 - Partnerships and networks including but extending beyond relations with parents and the community



A bird's eye view of Phase 2

- **At core – 360° diagnostic of individual schools needing to gain momentum**
- **Compared with each other and with Strong and Exceptional Schools**
- **Plus supported research/ impact projects for leaders, to address emerging issues especially re literacy and behaviour**
- **Huge diversity - schools struggled on many fronts**
 - A lot going on: *many* priorities need addressing and behaviour affects them all
 - Development priorities differed between schools *but* issues clustered in groups / themes



Communication priorities - consistency or coherence?

- **Schools seeking and approaching momentum put most more emphasis on consistency** - especially re behaviour – but missed key clues that students were ready to take more responsibility
- **Gaining Momentum and Strong Schools emphasised consistency but had systems in place for listening to pupils** and gathering evidence to help them spot and anticipate changes in behaviour for different groups and increase understanding of the learning purpose of behaviour policies
- **Exceptional schools emphasised coherence around relentlessly identifying and overcoming barriers to learning and saw behaviour incidents as an important system indicator**



Communication via monitoring

- **In Exceptional Schools monitoring was systematic, relentless and forensically focussed on identifying and *removing barriers to learning for pupils – and for staff too***
- **Problems led to intense, state of the art support – and rapid and consistent consequences if this didn't work**
- **In other schools there was a continuum but monitoring was**
 - Slower and more ad hoc/ reactive
 - More focussed on challenging than analysing/ identifying and removing barriers to learning for groups and individuals; and
 - Linked less strongly with support - which was also less structured/ professionalised



Creating a strong learning environment - 1

Approaching or Seeking Momentum Schools

- Understand CPD as “instruction for fixing teaching and ensuring strong classroom management”
- Remedial CPD done to staff rather than building capacity E.g. large scale, intense, long-term, external interventions

In SS and GM Schools CPD

- more distributed
- but still focussed firmly on raising the quality of lessons and classroom management; pedagogy is seen as much more important than learning content



In Exceptional schools

- **But Exceptional Schools focus on CPD and learning – (CPDL) was**
 - prioritised as a way of enhancing behaviour for learning; and
 - organised around deep induction into a shared, research informed model of pedagogy that..
 - Helps embed and sustain deep professional learning; and
 - Is organised around relentlessly identifying and removing barriers to learning for *every* pupil
 - Deepening colleagues' content knowledge to enable them relate the curriculum to pupils' lives beyond school



Creating a strong learning environment - 2

- Practice and CPDL best when developing curriculum, pedagogy, teaching and learning, including behaviour for learning are all *aligned through well understood systems*
- ES schools put professionalising all of this *coherently* at the centre
- Strong/GM schools were making increasing links between them eg at level of expectations, principles/ prompts and schemes of work
- **Approaching and Seeking Momentum Schools**
 - Focused intensely on consistency in behaviour and teaching - end in itself
 - Did not see developing the curriculum as a driver of quality for all
 - Positioned CPD as an executive arm of senior leadership
 - Defined behaviour as a student not a system problem



Communication around the curriculum

- Schools seeking or approaching momentum saw curriculum development as compliance with external requirements – and a luxury. The focus was on the quality of lessons.
- Strong and Gaining Momentum Schools also prioritised approaches to pedagogy to engage pupils, especially those with behaviour challenges
- Exceptional Schools prioritised helping teachers connect the core curriculum with pupils' lives beyond school through subject specific CPD and used evidence to help them do so
 - EG maths, supermarkets and learning walks with specialists





Contact Details

philippa.cordingley@curee.co.uk

[@PhilippaCcuree](https://twitter.com/PhilippaCcuree)

www.curee.co.uk

Centre for the Use of Research and Evidence in Education

Tel: 024 7652 4036

